UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/757,013	01/08/2001	Jean M. Beaupre	END0701USNP	7092
27777 7590 04/19/2007 PHILIP S. JOHNSON JOHNSON & JOHNSON			EXAMINER	
			ALI, SHUMAYA B	
ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3771	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONTUS		04/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Sur	09/757,013	BEAUPRE, JEAN M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shumaya B. Ali	3771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 D	ecember 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Di		: .			
Disposition of Claims		·			
4) Claim(s) <u>1,4-9,11-13,15-20 and 22</u> is/are pend	ling in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,				
6) Claim(s) <u>1,4,6,11-13,15,17,22</u> is/are rejected.	·	•			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,7-9,16 and 18-20</u> is/are objected to		×.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers	,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	or '				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Evaminer			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 110(a)	) (d) or (f)			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 33 0.3.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) 01 (1)			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
application from the International Bureau		ou in the Hational Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,,	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/757,013

Art Unit: 3771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,4-9,12,13,15-20, and 22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,4,6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Strukel US 5,242,385.

As to claim 1, Strukel discloses a laminated ultrasonic (co.2, lines 44-46) end effector (fig.1ab) having a distal (see fig.1b) end and a proximal end (see fig.1a) and comprising at least two stamped pieces (fig.1a, 20ab) of sheet stock which are laminated together and at least one lumen (fig.1a, 15) extending from the distal end to the proximal end (see fig.2, and col.2, lines 60-65).

As to claim 4, Strukel discloses the laminated ultrasonic end effector of claim 1, wherein the laminated ultrasonic end effector defines a connector (Strukel teaches an "ultrasonic handpiece", see col.2, lines 44-46, therefore inherently teaches a connector that allows the

Application/Control Number: 09/757,013 Page 3

Art Unit: 3771

handpiece to be charged or receive ultrasonic energy) at a proximal end of the laminated ultrasonic end effector to receive ultrasonic energy from an acoustic transmission assembly.

As to claim 6, Strukel discloses the laminated ultrasonic end effector of claim 1, wherein a distal portion of each of the stamped pieces of sheet stock has a longitudinal rib (fig.2, 28) stamped therein extending along the longitudinal axis of the laminated ultrasonic end effector to provide lateral stiffness for the laminated ultrasonic end effector.

As to claim 11, Strukel discloses the ultrasonic waveguide of claim 1, wherein a piece of sheet stock (fig.1a, 12) is mounted and secured to longitudinally extending slots in an outer circumference of a separate threaded connector (fig.1a, 110).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 09/757,013

Art Unit: 3771

Claims 12,13,15,17, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strukel US 5,242,385.

As to claims 12,13,15,17, and 22, Strukel lacks a detailed description of the claimed steps, however discloses structural limitations required to perform the method steps (see above rejection cited for claims 1,4,6, and 11). Thus, the method steps as cited in claims 12,13,15,17, and 22 would have been obvious result of using the apparatus of Strukel.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5,7-9,16, and 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Brisken (US 6,228,046B1), Banko (US 4,406,284), and Novak et al. (US 2005/0273127A1) are cited to teach cutting instrument.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shumaya B. Ali whose telephone number is 571-272-6088. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W-F 8:30am-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 571-272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/757,013

Art Unit: 3771

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-21/2-1000.

Examiner
Art Unit 3771

JUSTINE R. YU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

4/16/07